

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,894	03/24/2004	Robert H. Rines	Rines	5351
41840 RINES & RIN	7590 07/09/200 FS	9	EXAMINER	
24 Warren St.			SAADAT, CAMERON	
CONCORD, N	H 03301		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3715	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/807,894	RINES, ROBERT H.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CAMERON SAADAT	3715		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a), in in If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with tyriate, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the eared patter them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).	FTHIS COMMUNICATION. o event, however, may a reply be timely filed nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/29/2009.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action	is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exc	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	consideration
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Consideration.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	on requirement
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 28 May 2004 is/are: a)⊠ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is re	quired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the of	certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Amformation Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/05) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/29/2009.	5) Notice of informal Patent Application 6) Other:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	20
PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)	

Art Unit: 3715

DETAILED ACTION

In response to amendment filed 7/3/2008, claims 1-31 are pending in this application. It is noted that claim 31 is missing from the listing of claims and the status is unclear.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 4/29/2009 fails to fully comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. No copy of PCT/IB2004/003802 has been provided and therefore the reference has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The newly added claim limitation of printing coded indicia on pages of a book, "but in no fixed xy location on the pages" is not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3715

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is unclear how one would print coded indicia on pages of a book "but in no fixed xy location on the pages", since printed indicia on a substrate is inherently fixed and not capable of being moved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-6, 8-14, 16-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blume (US 2004, 0023200).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 9, 20-21, and 24, Blume discloses a system and method for supplementing the materials of various passages of different printed book descriptive materials, with visual and supplemental materials, related to the specific subject matter of the passages of printed descriptive materials (see ¶ 20), that comprises, electronically storing on tracks of a recorded storage medium Art Unit: 3715

pluralities of the visual and audio supplemental information (See ¶ 34), and each provided with accessing coding specific to each such track of the medium; printing on the pages of the book alongside each of the various descriptive material passages, and an electronically readable code indicia corresponding specifically to that coded track of the medium containing the recorded specific visual/audio supplemental material (see ¶ 28); providing an electronic wand 14 for remotely selectively accessing the respective tracks of a medium player available to the book reader, and controlling the visual/audio playing of the same for displaying/reproducing to the reader said supplemental visual information recorded on the respective tracks; and further providing to the book reader an electronic reader (optical scanner 34) of said coded indicia, adapted to actuate the electronic wand to playback respective coded tracks of the medium in the player in accordance with the book reader applying the electronic indicia reader to the respective code indicia in the book (See ¶ 23-24), thereby providing the book reader with audio/video supplemental material while reading. Blume does not explicitly disclose that the electronically readable trackidentifying code indicium is in no fixed x/y location on the pages. However, Blume suggests that the electronically readable code indicia should be applied in the book to the unprinted margins or spaces of the book pages near the corresponding printed passages (See § 28, 32). Thus, Blume does not limit the location of the readable code indicia to one particular position. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the readable code indicia described in Blume by locating them in various x/v locations in book pages, in order to correspond the cod indicia to a particular passage.

Regarding claims 2 and 10, Blume discloses electronically readable code indicia applied in the book to the unprinted margins or spaces of the book pages near the corresponding printed passages. (See ¶ 28, 32).

Regarding claims 3 and 11, Blume discloses a method wherein the book reader's application of the electronic code indicia reader 34 to a selected book coded indicia automatically actuates the wand 14 Application/Control Number: 10/807,894

Art Unit: 3715

in turn to actuate the player to select and play the respective recorded track on the medium corresponding to the book reader's selected book indicia. See ¶ 23-24.

Regarding claims 4 and 12, Blume discloses a portable, hand-held electronic reader 34 in wired communication with the wand 14, and the wand is in wired, remote or wireless communication with the player. See ¶21.

Regarding claims 5 and 13, Blume discloses an electronic reader 34 and wand 14 that are integrally packaged. See Fig. 4.

Regarding claims 6 and 14, Blume discloses an electronic reader 14 provided with the book 12. See Fig. 1.

Regarding elaim 16, Blume discloses that the reader 34 and the wand 14 are packaged in the form of a hand-held stylus. See Fig. 4.

Regarding claims 22 and 25, Blume discloses that the reader communication to the player of reader-selected indicia is effected by the reader initiating electronic reading of the indicia and communication thereof to the player. See \P 23-24.

Regarding claim 23, Blume discloses that the player is remote from the reader and the communication is wireless. See ¶ 21 and 37.

Regarding claim 26, Blume discloses that the indicia reading is effected by electronic scanning by the written material reader. See ¶ 23-24.

Regarding claim 27, Blume discloses a storage medium playback system that is remote from but visible to the reader of the written material, and the communication thereto is wireless. See ¶ 21 and 37. Application/Control Number: 10/807,894

Art Unit: 3715

Regarding claims 28, Blume discloses a storage medium comprising CD, CD player and display screen 54. See § 35.

Regarding claim 29, Blume discloses various storage medium systems, including DVD. See ¶ 35.

Regarding claim 30, Blume discloses wherein the storage medium playback system comprises computer-accessible web storage and display screen. See §37.

Regarding claim 31, Blume discloses wherein the storage medium playback system comprises a computer 40 with internal storage and playback capability upon a display screen 54. See ¶ 34.

Regarding claim 17, Blume discloses a longitudinal shaped tool 14, but does not explicitly state that the tool is storable with the book. However, it is the examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to store stylus 14 described in Blume with the book, since the book and stylus are utilized together.

Regarding claim 18, Blume discloses a hand-held tool that is connected by a cord to the book. See ¶ 21.

Regarding claim 19, Blume discloses a tool that is detachably connectable to the book. See ¶ 21.

Newly added claims 32-38 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-6, 8-14, and 16-31.

Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blume (US 2004, 0023200) in view of Williams et al. (US 5,899,700; hereinafter Williams).

Regarding claims 7 and 15, Blume discloses optical scanner 34 and describes it as being similar to bar code readers and other optical scanning devices that are well known. See ¶ 28. Although implied, Blume does not explicitly disclose that the coded indicia comprise barcodes. However, Williams teaches

Application/Control Number: 10/807,894

Art Unit: 3715

a method and apparatus for providing multimedia material in response to a user scanning barcodes of a book. See Williams, col. 3, lines 3-27. Thus, in view of Williams, it would have been obvious to modify the optical scanner and coded indicia described in Blume, by providing coded indicia in the form of barcodes, in order to retrieve supplemental multimedia information for a book.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CAMERON SAADAT whose telephone number is (571)272-4443. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan M. Thai can be reached on (571) 272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,894 Page 8

Art Unit: 3715

/Cameron Saadat/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3715